ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696) 1 SAM O'ROURKE (State Bar No. 205233) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFÉ LLP 2 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park CA 94025 3 (650) 614-7400 Telephone: (650) 614-7401 Facsimile: 4 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant 5 MICROSOFT CORPORATION 6 RECEIVED 7 OCT 2 8 2002 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA . 9 OAKLAND DIVISION 10 OAKLAND 11 Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 12 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING, 13 IN PART, MICROSOFT'S MOTION Plaintiff, FOR A PARTIAL STAY 14 15 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a enendant's Counsel are directed to serve this Washington corporation, 16 nder upon all other parties in this actions. Defendant. 17 18 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. . 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

DOCSSV1:210454.2

PROPOSED ORDER C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)

1	Having considered Defendant Microsoft's Motion for Partial Stay, the supporting		
2	and opposing papers, the pleadings and papers on file with the Court, the evidence presented by		
3	counsel, oral argument by counsel, and good cause appearing therefor,		
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Microsoft's motion is GRANTED, IN PART, AS		
5	FOLLOWS:		
6	The parties have selected the following 12 claims for limited Markman claim		
7	construction and indefiniteness proceedings:		
8	<ul> <li>U.S. Patent No. 6,185,683 – claim 2</li> </ul>		
9	<ul> <li>U.S. Patent No. 6,253,193 – claims 1, 11, 15, 19</li> </ul>		
0	■ U.S. Patent No. 5,920,861 — claim 58		
1	■ U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900 – claim 155		
2	<ul> <li>U.S. Patent No. 5,982,891 - claim 1</li> </ul>		
3	<ul> <li>U.S. Patent No. 5,917,912 - claims 8, 35</li> </ul>		
4	<ul> <li>U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 - claims 1, 34</li> </ul>		
5	The Court shall schedule the hearing on Microsoft's anticipated motion for partial		
6	summary judgment of indefiniteness (limited to all or some of these 12 claims) to coincide with		
7	the Markman hearing on these 12 claims.		
18	All proceedings (including all discovery) unrelated to the claim construction and		
19	alleged indefiniteness of these 12 claims shall be stayed pending the Court's ruling on these		
20	issues.		
21	The relatedness of discovery requests to the limited Markman and indefiniteness		
22	proceedings shall be construed broadly and both parties are ordered to make every effort to permit		
23	discovery, otherwise relevant and discoverable under the Civil Rules, that is colorably related to		
24	or likely to assist in developing issues affecting the claim construction and/or indefiniteness of the		
25	12 claims selected by the parties. For example, at the hearing counsel represented that they		
26	would not object to discovery directed to the use, by either party, of claim terms selected to be		
27	construed at the limited Markman hearing, as well as technical documents mentioning those claim		
28	terms. However, the failure to object to such discovery shall not be construed as an admission of		

the admissibility or relevance of that material, nor shall it be considered a waiver of the right to contest its admissibility for any purpose.

This partial stay is granted pursuant to the Court's broad powers of case management, including the power to limit discovery to relevant subject matter and to adjust discovery as appropriate to each phase of litigation as set forth by the Federal Circuit in *Vivid Technologies, Inc. v. American Science & Engineering, Inc.*, 200 F.3d 795, 803 (Fed. Cir. 1999), and pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(b), (c); 26(b); 42(b).

Dated: \_// \_\_\_\_, 2002

The Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong

## DECLARATION OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

I am more than eighteen years old and not a party to this action. My place of employment and business address is 1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, California 94025.

On November 6, 2002, I served:

1

2

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER GRANTING, IN PART, MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR A PARTIAL STAY

By transmitting a copy of the above-listed document(s) in PDF form via electronic mail Michael H. Page at mhp@kvn.com, Steven H. Morrissett at steven.morrissett@finnegan.com, Stephen E. Taylor at staylor@tcolaw.com and James E. Geringer at

james.geringer@klarquist.com and also by placing true and correct copies of the above

8	documents in an envelope addressed to:	
9	John W. Keker, Esq.	Steven H. Morrissett, Esq. FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
10	Michael H. Page, Esq. KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
11	710 Sansome Street	Stanford Research Park 700 Hansen Way
11	San Francisco, California 94111 Tel. No. 415-391-5400	Palo Alto, California 94304-1016
12	Fax No. 415-397-7188	Tel. No. 650-849-6624
13	Email: mhp@kvn.com	Fax No. 650-849-6666 Email: steven.morrissett@finnegan.com
	Attorneys for Plaintiff INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION	Attorneys for Plaintiff
14	TECHNOLOGIES COID ORATION	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES

Stephen E. Taylor, Esq.
TAYLOR & CO. LAW OFFICES

INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIC
CORPORATION

John D. Vandenberg, Esq.
James E. Geringer, Esq.

1050 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 101
Alameda, CA 94501
Tel. No. 510-865-9401
Fax No. 510-865-9408
Email: staylor@tcolaw.com

KLARQUIST, SPARKMAN, LLP
One World Trade Center
121 S. W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
Portland, Oregon 97204
Tel. No. 503-226-7391

Attorneys for Plaintiff
INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES

Fax No: 503-228-9446
Email: james.geringer@klarquist.com

CORPORATION Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant, MICROSOFT CORPORATION

and sealing the envelope, affixing adequate first-class postage and depositing it in the U.S. mail at Menlo Park, California.

Executed on November 6, 2002 at Menlo Park, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

ANNA FREDDIE

DOCSSV1:189299.1

DECLARATION OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL – CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)

## This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT

  SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
  - COLORED PHOTOS
  - BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
  - GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problems Mailbox.